SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Norwalk State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$6,635,064 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1995

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 92 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 79.54 % | 90.22 % | 79 | 90.66 % | 10 | 7 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 74.15 % | 80.19 % | 67 | 81.06 % | 22 | 17 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0 | 5.73 | 83 | 4.62 | 0 | 0 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 0.00 % | 72.76 % | 88 | 81.27 % | 1 | 0 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 19.58 % | 60.11 % | 82 | 68.23 % | 4 | 3 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 0.00 % | 78.04 % | 87 | 79.86 % | 0 | 0 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 0.00 % | 41.03 % | 84 | 44.82 % | 0 | 0 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 0.00 % | 92.04 % | 87 | 94.65 % | 0 | 0 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 88 / 92 | Nation | nally: 3 | 2 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$0 | \$32,339 | | \$25,419 | 0 Units | 0.00 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$7,891 | \$19,480 | | \$14,530 | 71 Units | 19.70 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$12,597 | \$26,371 | | \$20,251 | 276 Units | 76.50 |
| TBRA Unit | \$4,490 | \$2,782 | | \$3,156 | 14 Units | 3.90 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (201 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Norwalk CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:**

Rental \$0 \$125,042 \$89,640 Homebuyer \$13,211 \$108,908 \$72,481

Homeowner \$11,217 \$27,200 \$22,984 **CHDO Operating Expenses:**

(% of allocation)

PJ: **National Avg:** 0.0 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

1.04

| | | - | Homeowner | TBRA | | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|-----|------|-----------|------|----------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|------|
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 0.0 | 7.0 | 47.8 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 21.4 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 14.3 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.1 | Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 78.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 88.7 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 84.5 | 51.1 | 71.4 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | ASSISTAN | | | |
| 1 Person: | 0.0 | 1.4 | 51.4 | 7.1 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 7.1 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 23.9 | 1.4 | 35.7 | No Assistance: | 0.0 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 25.4 | 4.0 | 21.4 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 28.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 12.7 | 1.8 | 21.4 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.1 | # of Section 504 Compliant | t Units / Co | mpleted Ur | nits Since 200 | 0 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

CA **Group Rank:** 3 Norwalk State: **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

State Rank: 92 PJs

Overall Rank: 2 (Percentile)

Summary: Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.60% | 0 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.54% | 19.58 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 0 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.20% | 0 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.500 | 3.17 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.